



Compared Roll Totals By Entity
(1) 2018 000 - September Roll vs. (2) 2019 000 - September Roll

Taxing Authority		Appraised	Accounts		Net Taxable
	(1)	\$768,501,140	5,567	(1)	\$736,653,494
001 CITY OF AZLE	(2)	\$862,974,689	5,578	(2)	\$821,818,660
	(2) - (1)	\$94,473,549	11	(2) - (1)	\$85,165,166
	GAIN	12.29 %		GAIN	11.56 %
	(1)	\$4,610,575,578	17,654	(1)	\$4,337,767,087
002 CITY OF BEDFORD	(2)	\$5,058,836,045	17,674	(2)	\$4,760,217,965
	(2) - (1)	\$448,260,467	20	(2) - (1)	\$422,450,878
	GAIN	9.72 %		GAIN	9.74 %
	(1)	\$2,240,675,173	41,962	(1)	\$2,098,744,784
003 CITY OF BENBROOK	(2)	\$2,461,835,831	42,139	(2)	\$2,304,875,614
	(2) - (1)	\$221,160,658	177	(2) - (1)	\$206,130,830
	GAIN	9.87 %		GAIN	9.82 %
	(1)	\$127,195,280	955	(1)	\$122,312,901
004 CITY OF BLUE MOUND	(2)	\$151,610,057	959	(2)	\$147,469,180
	(2) - (1)	\$24,414,777	4	(2) - (1)	\$25,156,279
	GAIN	19.19 %		GAIN	20.57 %
	(1)	\$5,744,986,798	12,228	(1)	\$5,487,695,413
005 CITY OF COLLEYVILLE	(2)	\$6,142,388,456	12,187	(2)	\$5,848,934,217
	(2) - (1)	\$397,401,658	-41	(2) - (1)	\$361,238,803
	GAIN	6.92 %		GAIN	6.58 %
	(1)	\$1,041,966,790	25,180	(1)	\$986,889,674
006 CITY OF CROWLEY	(2)	\$1,255,769,750	25,977	(2)	\$1,187,785,251
	(2) - (1)	\$213,802,960	797	(2) - (1)	\$200,895,577
	GAIN	20.52 %		GAIN	20.36 %
	(1)	\$396,302,869	6,003	(1)	\$375,984,296
007 DALWORTHINGTON GARDENS	(2)	\$416,452,411	6,061	(2)	\$391,860,526
	(2) - (1)	\$20,149,542	58	(2) - (1)	\$15,876,230
	GAIN	5.08 %		GAIN	4.22 %
	(1)	\$237,428,683	7,758	(1)	\$230,619,038
008 EDGECLIFF VILLAGE	(2)	\$265,378,666	7,680	(2)	\$257,913,320
	(2) - (1)	\$27,949,983	-78	(2) - (1)	\$27,294,281
	GAIN	11.77 %		GAIN	11.84 %

Note: Both Appraised and Net Taxable Values include Residential, Personal Property, and Mineral account values less Absolute value.

Note: Net Taxable Values include estimated Minimum ARB & estimated Minimum Incomplete account values.



Compared Roll Totals By Entity
(1) 2018 000 - September Roll vs. (2) 2019 000 - September Roll

Taxing Authority		Appraised	Accounts		Net Taxable
	(1)	\$204,110,412	7,236	(1)	\$186,616,296
009 CITY OF EVERMAN	(2)	\$241,145,537	7,284	(2)	\$222,924,486
	(2) - (1)	\$37,035,125	48	(2) - (1)	\$36,308,190
	GAIN	18.14 %		GAIN	19.46 %
	(1)	\$569,121,501	11,679	(1)	\$522,802,783
010 CITY OF FOREST HILL	(2)	\$670,809,548	11,700	(2)	\$619,566,219
	(2) - (1)	\$101,688,047	21	(2) - (1)	\$96,763,436
	GAIN	17.87 %		GAIN	18.51 %
	(1)	\$10,717,045,603	26,576	(1)	\$8,934,917,549
011 CITY OF GRAPEVINE	(2)	\$11,819,517,783	26,865	(2)	\$9,664,770,915
	(2) - (1)	\$1,102,472,180	289	(2) - (1)	\$729,853,366
	GAIN	10.29 %		GAIN	8.17 %
	(1)	\$6,545,113,148	17,366	(1)	\$5,955,198,451
013 CITY OF KELLER	(2)	\$7,073,205,664	17,469	(2)	\$6,216,829,650
	(2) - (1)	\$528,092,516	103	(2) - (1)	\$261,631,199
	GAIN	8.07 %		GAIN	4.39 %
	(1)	\$771,777,378	38,665	(1)	\$720,524,072
014 CITY OF KENNEDALE	(2)	\$871,202,516	38,763	(2)	\$805,016,885
	(2) - (1)	\$99,425,138	98	(2) - (1)	\$84,492,814
	GAIN	12.88 %		GAIN	11.73 %
	(1)	\$157,309,426	2,325	(1)	\$142,060,350
015 CITY OF LAKESIDE	(2)	\$172,042,387	2,340	(2)	\$155,852,811
	(2) - (1)	\$14,732,961	15	(2) - (1)	\$13,792,460
	GAIN	9.37 %		GAIN	9.71 %
	(1)	\$521,504,998	12,585	(1)	\$488,522,784
016 CITY OF LAKE WORTH	(2)	\$567,546,520	12,547	(2)	\$530,733,183
	(2) - (1)	\$46,041,522	-38	(2) - (1)	\$42,210,399
	GAIN	8.83 %		GAIN	8.64 %
	(1)	\$7,439,099,010	46,860	(1)	\$6,624,136,975
017 CITY OF MANSFIELD	(2)	\$8,336,439,463	47,381	(2)	\$7,267,925,282
	(2) - (1)	\$897,340,453	521	(2) - (1)	\$643,788,307
	GAIN	12.06 %		GAIN	9.72 %
	(1)	\$6,501,500,017	62,128	(1)	\$5,677,573,135

Note: Both Appraised and Net Taxable Values include Residential, Personal Property, and Mineral account values less Absolute value.

Note: Net Taxable Values include estimated Minimum ARB & estimated Minimum Incomplete account values.



Compared Roll Totals By Entity
(1) 2018 000 - September Roll vs. (2) 2019 000 - September Roll

Taxing Authority		Appraised	Accounts		Net Taxable
018 CITY OF N RICHLAND HILLS	(2)	\$7,180,615,736	62,365	(2)	\$6,208,730,680
	(2) - (1)	\$679,115,719	237	(2) - (1)	\$531,157,545
	GAIN	10.45 %		GAIN	9.36 %
	(1)	\$383,748,363	10,670	(1)	\$326,358,324
019 TOWN OF PANTEGO	(2)	\$416,849,844	10,700	(2)	\$356,688,696
	(2) - (1)	\$33,101,481	30	(2) - (1)	\$30,330,372
	GAIN	8.63 %		GAIN	9.29 %
	(1)	\$650,876,091	10,847	(1)	\$609,993,739
020 CITY OF RICHLAND HILLS	(2)	\$724,908,480	10,705	(2)	\$682,093,893
	(2) - (1)	\$74,032,389	-142	(2) - (1)	\$72,100,154
	GAIN	11.37 %		GAIN	11.82 %
	(1)	\$2,069,563,955	10,395	(1)	\$1,874,072,563
021 CITY OF SAGINAW	(2)	\$2,357,860,529	10,746	(2)	\$2,146,163,821
	(2) - (1)	\$288,296,574	351	(2) - (1)	\$272,091,258
	GAIN	13.93 %		GAIN	14.52 %
	(1)	\$9,069,692,346	13,167	(1)	\$7,718,628,884
022 CITY OF SOUTHLAKE	(2)	\$9,769,018,445	13,274	(2)	\$8,245,538,545
	(2) - (1)	\$699,326,099	107	(2) - (1)	\$526,909,661
	GAIN	7.71 %		GAIN	6.83 %
	(1)	\$571,043,920	5,335	(1)	\$567,963,793
023 CITY OF WESTOVER HILLS	(2)	\$568,046,985	5,347	(2)	\$564,276,904
	(2) - (1)	-\$2,996,935	12	(2) - (1)	-\$3,686,889
	LOSS	-0.52 %		LOSS	-0.65 %
	(1)	\$31,390,664,237	358,596	(1)	\$25,921,882,291
024 CITY OF ARLINGTON	(2)	\$35,376,125,086	361,141	(2)	\$29,238,009,537
	(2) - (1)	\$3,985,460,849	2,545	(2) - (1)	\$3,316,127,246
	GAIN	12.70 %		GAIN	12.79 %
	(1)	\$5,003,425,591	30,969	(1)	\$4,458,677,413
025 CITY OF EULESS	(2)	\$5,610,437,177	31,426	(2)	\$4,948,069,260
	(2) - (1)	\$607,011,586	457	(2) - (1)	\$489,391,846
	GAIN	12.13 %		GAIN	10.98 %
	(1)	\$78,448,102,648	679,976	(1)	\$65,819,032,971
026 CITY OF FORT WORTH	(2)	\$89,383,367,869	688,949	(2)	\$75,075,114,056

Note: Both Appraised and Net Taxable Values include Residential, Personal Property, and Mineral account values less Absolute value.

Note: Net Taxable Values include estimated Minimum ARB & estimated Minimum Incomplete account values.



Compared Roll Totals By Entity
(1) 2018 000 - September Roll vs. (2) 2019 000 - September Roll

Taxing Authority		Appraised	Accounts		Net Taxable
	(2) - (1)	\$10,935,265,221	8,973	(2) - (1)	\$9,256,081,085
	GAIN	13.94 %		GAIN	14.06 %
	(1)	\$2,588,033,875	58,413	(1)	\$2,307,513,308
027 HALTOM CITY	(2)	\$2,954,214,230	58,890	(2)	\$2,645,204,099
	(2) - (1)	\$366,180,355	477	(2) - (1)	\$337,690,791
	GAIN	14.15 %		GAIN	14.63 %
	(1)	\$3,632,909,462	17,925	(1)	\$3,133,505,310
028 CITY OF HURST	(2)	\$3,987,151,190	17,981	(2)	\$3,440,297,585
	(2) - (1)	\$354,241,728	56	(2) - (1)	\$306,792,275
	GAIN	9.75 %		GAIN	9.79 %
	(1)	\$326,340,694	8,927	(1)	\$312,492,769
029 CITY OF RIVER OAKS	(2)	\$386,796,844	8,826	(2)	\$371,487,936
	(2) - (1)	\$60,456,150	-101	(2) - (1)	\$58,995,167
	GAIN	18.53 %		GAIN	18.88 %
	(1)	\$950,989,772	11,880	(1)	\$825,281,890
030 CITY OF WHITE SETTLEMENT	(2)	\$1,154,315,252	11,947	(2)	\$1,031,637,688
	(2) - (1)	\$203,325,480	67	(2) - (1)	\$206,355,798
	GAIN	21.38 %		GAIN	25.00 %
	(1)	\$1,472,135,409	20,423	(1)	\$1,391,763,429
031 CITY OF WATAUGA	(2)	\$1,651,394,646	20,456	(2)	\$1,562,606,034
	(2) - (1)	\$179,259,237	33	(2) - (1)	\$170,842,605
	GAIN	12.18 %		GAIN	12.28 %
	(1)	\$348,890,974	3,807	(1)	\$268,907,428
032 WESTWORTH VILLAGE	(2)	\$359,843,324	3,850	(2)	\$309,573,290
	(2) - (1)	\$10,952,350	43	(2) - (1)	\$40,665,862
	GAIN	3.14 %		GAIN	15.12 %
	(1)	\$804,717,943	16,986	(1)	\$779,764,042
033 CITY OF BURLESON	(2)	\$891,899,560	17,107	(2)	\$866,928,403
	(2) - (1)	\$87,181,617	121	(2) - (1)	\$87,164,361
	GAIN	10.83 %		GAIN	11.18 %
	(1)	\$1,141,113,682	10,358	(1)	\$870,121,241
034 CITY OF HASLET	(2)	\$1,130,016,724	10,736	(2)	\$824,298,104
	(2) - (1)	-\$11,096,958	378	(2) - (1)	-\$45,823,136

Note: Both Appraised and Net Taxable Values include Residential, Personal Property, and Mineral account values less Absolute value.

Note: Net Taxable Values include estimated Minimum ARB & estimated Minimum Incomplete account values.



Compared Roll Totals By Entity
(1) 2018 000 - September Roll vs. (2) 2019 000 - September Roll

Taxing Authority		Appraised	Accounts		Net Taxable	
		LOSS	-0.97 %		LOSS	-5.27 %
	(1)	\$39,295,104	1,561	(1)	\$37,611,900	
036 CITY OF PELICAN BAY	(2)	\$56,683,540	1,702	(2)	\$54,503,411	
	(2) - (1)	\$17,388,436	141	(2) - (1)	\$16,891,511	
		GAIN	44.25 %		GAIN	44.91 %
	(1)	\$1,589,560,768	1,246	(1)	\$1,301,618,553	
037 TOWN OF WESTLAKE	(2)	\$1,799,258,888	1,317	(2)	\$1,466,447,254	
	(2) - (1)	\$209,698,120	71	(2) - (1)	\$164,828,701	
		GAIN	13.19 %		GAIN	12.66 %
	(1)	\$8,756,681,085	33,464	(1)	\$7,555,100,143	
038 CITY OF GRAND PRAIRIE	(2)	\$9,719,232,953	35,326	(2)	\$8,329,300,337	
	(2) - (1)	\$962,551,868	1,862	(2) - (1)	\$774,200,194	
		GAIN	10.99 %		GAIN	10.25 %
	(1)	\$169,747,458	7,485	(1)	\$159,023,360	
039 CITY OF SANSOM PARK	(2)	\$193,299,082	7,497	(2)	\$179,108,218	
	(2) - (1)	\$23,551,624	12	(2) - (1)	\$20,084,858	
		GAIN	13.87 %		GAIN	12.63 %
	(1)	\$7,620,912	73	(1)	\$7,270,917	
041 CITY OF RENO	(2)	\$7,547,069	71	(2)	\$7,449,778	
	(2) - (1)	-\$73,843	-2	(2) - (1)	\$178,861	
		LOSS	-0.97 %		GAIN	2.46 %
	(1)	\$241,878,960	243	(1)	\$211,347,211	
042 CITY OF FLOWER MOUND	(2)	\$348,457,155	522	(2)	\$305,462,709	
	(2) - (1)	\$106,578,195	279	(2) - (1)	\$94,115,498	
		GAIN	44.06 %		GAIN	44.53 %
	(1)	\$151,052,871	40	(1)	\$146,558,720	
043 CITY OF ROANOKE	(2)	\$169,117,899	40	(2)	\$149,109,323	
	(2) - (1)	\$18,065,028	0	(2) - (1)	\$2,550,604	
		GAIN	11.96 %		GAIN	1.74 %
	(1)	\$134,980,525	225	(1)	\$132,651,448	
044 TOWN OF TROPHY CLUB	(2)	\$149,317,917	225	(2)	\$145,994,429	
	(2) - (1)	\$14,337,392	0	(2) - (1)	\$13,342,980	
		GAIN	10.62 %		GAIN	10.06 %

Note: Both Appraised and Net Taxable Values include Residential, Personal Property, and Mineral account values less Absolute value.

Note: Net Taxable Values include estimated Minimum ARB & estimated Minimum Incomplete account values.



Compared Roll Totals By Entity
(1) 2018 000 - September Roll vs. (2) 2019 000 - September Roll

Taxing Authority		Appraised	Accounts		Net Taxable
	(1)	\$204,863,324,890	1,786,712	(1)	\$188,100,908,746
220 TARRANT COUNTY	(2)	\$229,559,604,192	1,807,492	(2)	\$209,106,597,770
	(2) - (1)	\$24,696,279,302	20,780	(2) - (1)	\$21,005,689,025
	GAIN	12.06 %		GAIN	11.17 %
	(1)	\$6,327,143,101	131,820	(1)	\$6,145,734,702
222 EMERGENCY SVCS DIST #1	(2)	\$6,848,377,715	134,509	(2)	\$6,623,984,502
	(2) - (1)	\$521,234,614	2,689	(2) - (1)	\$478,249,801
	GAIN	8.24 %		GAIN	7.78 %
	(1)	\$75,234,662,713	688,694	(1)	\$68,396,711,495
223 REGIONAL WATER DISTRICT	(2)	\$85,507,850,789	702,330	(2)	\$77,442,772,790
	(2) - (1)	\$10,273,188,076	13,636	(2) - (1)	\$9,046,061,295
	GAIN	13.65 %		GAIN	13.23 %
	(1)	\$204,863,324,890	1,786,712	(1)	\$188,247,237,730
224 TARRANT COUNTY HOSPITAL	(2)	\$229,559,604,192	1,807,492	(2)	\$209,570,974,869
	(2) - (1)	\$24,696,279,302	20,780	(2) - (1)	\$21,323,737,139
	GAIN	12.06 %		GAIN	11.33 %
	(1)	\$204,863,324,890	1,786,712	(1)	\$188,894,748,899
225 TARRANT COUNTY COLLEGE	(2)	\$229,559,604,192	1,807,492	(2)	\$210,985,029,748
	(2) - (1)	\$24,696,279,302	20,780	(2) - (1)	\$22,090,280,848
	GAIN	12.06 %		GAIN	11.69 %
	(1)	\$443,336,650	413	(1)	\$439,880,422
306 TROPHY CLUB MUD #1	(2)	\$536,813,319	470	(2)	\$530,863,634
	(2) - (1)	\$93,476,669	57	(2) - (1)	\$90,983,212
	GAIN	21.08 %		GAIN	20.68 %
	(1)	\$74,633,515	378	(1)	\$72,201,403
319 LIVE OAK CREEK MUD #1	(2)	\$97,597,215	438	(2)	\$94,269,243
	(2) - (1)	\$22,963,700	60	(2) - (1)	\$22,067,840
	GAIN	30.77 %		GAIN	30.56 %
	(1)	\$3,842,997	4	(1)	\$3,842,997
321 FAR NORTH FORT WORTH MUD #1	(2)	\$3,747,284	4	(2)	\$3,747,284
	(2) - (1)	-\$95,713	0	(2) - (1)	-\$95,713
	LOSS	-2.49 %		LOSS	-2.49 %

Note: Both Appraised and Net Taxable Values include Residential, Personal Property, and Mineral account values less Absolute value.

Note: Net Taxable Values include estimated Minimum ARB & estimated Minimum Incomplete account values.



Compared Roll Totals By Entity
(1) 2018 000 - September Roll vs. (2) 2019 000 - September Roll

Taxing Authority		Appraised	Accounts		Net Taxable
	(1)	\$536,234,028	2,093	(1)	\$527,990,984
420 VIRIDIAN MUNICIPAL MGMT DIST	(2)	\$709,590,495	2,568	(2)	\$692,900,741
	(2) - (1)	\$173,356,467	475	(2) - (1)	\$164,909,758
	GAIN	32.33 %		GAIN	31.23 %
	(1)	\$32,507,793,764	305,754	(1)	\$28,830,488,597
901 ARLINGTON ISD	(2)	\$36,478,647,199	309,277	(2)	\$32,767,038,332
	(2) - (1)	\$3,970,853,435	3,523	(2) - (1)	\$3,936,549,735
	GAIN	12.22 %		GAIN	13.65 %
	(1)	\$11,658,515,644	147,232	(1)	\$10,478,294,621
902 BIRDVILLE ISD	(2)	\$12,960,105,221	147,940	(2)	\$11,643,619,739
	(2) - (1)	\$1,301,589,577	708	(2) - (1)	\$1,165,325,118
	GAIN	11.16 %		GAIN	11.12 %
	(1)	\$1,575,792,621	32,985	(1)	\$1,412,305,509
904 EVERMAN ISD	(2)	\$1,812,851,542	33,315	(2)	\$1,605,147,353
	(2) - (1)	\$237,058,921	330	(2) - (1)	\$192,841,845
	GAIN	15.04 %		GAIN	13.65 %
	(1)	\$42,557,595,296	501,506	(1)	\$38,178,487,751
905 FORT WORTH ISD	(2)	\$47,548,341,838	503,770	(2)	\$42,987,032,557
	(2) - (1)	\$4,990,746,542	2,264	(2) - (1)	\$4,808,544,807
	GAIN	11.73 %		GAIN	12.59 %
	(1)	\$17,126,103,608	48,193	(1)	\$15,390,759,455
906 GRAPEVINE-COLLEYVILLE ISD	(2)	\$18,746,563,486	48,495	(2)	\$16,637,975,856
	(2) - (1)	\$1,620,459,878	302	(2) - (1)	\$1,247,216,400
	GAIN	9.46 %		GAIN	8.10 %
	(1)	\$20,181,729,610	82,474	(1)	\$18,586,715,276
907 KELLER ISD	(2)	\$21,971,191,996	83,196	(2)	\$20,290,148,243
	(2) - (1)	\$1,789,462,386	722	(2) - (1)	\$1,703,432,967
	GAIN	8.87 %		GAIN	9.16 %
	(1)	\$15,264,605,558	161,267	(1)	\$13,445,839,124
908 MANSFIELD ISD	(2)	\$16,931,262,471	163,109	(2)	\$14,820,054,676
	(2) - (1)	\$1,666,656,913	1,842	(2) - (1)	\$1,374,215,552
	GAIN	10.92 %		GAIN	10.22 %
	(1)	\$1,199,682,424	24,368	(1)	\$1,006,101,453

Note: Both Appraised and Net Taxable Values include Residential, Personal Property, and Mineral account values less Absolute value.

Note: Net Taxable Values include estimated Minimum ARB & estimated Minimum Incomplete account values.



Compared Roll Totals By Entity
(1) 2018 000 - September Roll vs. (2) 2019 000 - September Roll

Taxing Authority		Appraised	Accounts		Net Taxable
910 LAKE WORTH ISD	(2)	\$1,273,099,435	24,395	(2)	\$1,106,826,271
	(2) - (1)	\$73,417,011	27	(2) - (1)	\$100,724,818
	GAIN	6.12 %		GAIN	10.01 %
	(1)	\$10,005,653,590	75,302	(1)	\$8,324,142,433
911 NORTHWEST ISD	(2)	\$11,768,692,493	77,839	(2)	\$9,649,487,552
	(2) - (1)	\$1,763,038,903	2,537	(2) - (1)	\$1,325,345,119
	GAIN	17.62 %		GAIN	15.92 %
	(1)	\$7,684,901,796	102,855	(1)	\$6,584,957,459
912 CROWLEY ISD	(2)	\$8,666,105,811	106,390	(2)	\$7,489,624,491
	(2) - (1)	\$981,204,015	3,535	(2) - (1)	\$904,667,031
	GAIN	12.77 %		GAIN	13.74 %
	(1)	\$1,669,424,350	51,044	(1)	\$1,467,774,017
914 KENNEDALE ISD	(2)	\$1,896,612,627	51,124	(2)	\$1,662,412,589
	(2) - (1)	\$227,188,277	80	(2) - (1)	\$194,638,572
	GAIN	13.61 %		GAIN	13.26 %
	(1)	\$1,935,553,470	24,262	(1)	\$1,738,232,735
915 AZLE ISD	(2)	\$2,173,547,142	24,686	(2)	\$1,937,880,616
	(2) - (1)	\$237,993,672	424	(2) - (1)	\$199,647,882
	GAIN	12.30 %		GAIN	11.49 %
	(1)	\$15,535,654,081	64,181	(1)	\$14,014,556,173
916 HURST-EULESS-BEDFORD ISD	(2)	\$17,445,618,735	65,299	(2)	\$15,851,725,157
	(2) - (1)	\$1,909,964,654	1,118	(2) - (1)	\$1,837,168,984
	GAIN	12.29 %		GAIN	13.11 %
	(1)	\$884,186,775	26,414	(1)	\$774,923,224
917 CASTLEBERRY ISD	(2)	\$1,037,784,494	26,182	(2)	\$921,549,594
	(2) - (1)	\$153,597,719	-232	(2) - (1)	\$146,626,369
	GAIN	17.37 %		GAIN	18.92 %
	(1)	\$10,923,074,573	61,074	(1)	\$9,371,675,796
918 EAGLE MTN-SAGINAW ISD	(2)	\$13,145,227,569	62,911	(2)	\$10,874,739,333
	(2) - (1)	\$2,222,152,996	1,837	(2) - (1)	\$1,503,063,537
	GAIN	20.34 %		GAIN	16.04 %
	(1)	\$9,354,385,883	13,556	(1)	\$8,939,316,133
919 CARROLL ISD	(2)	\$10,138,009,670	13,711	(2)	\$9,636,476,519

Note: Both Appraised and Net Taxable Values include Residential, Personal Property, and Mineral account values less Absolute value.

Note: Net Taxable Values include estimated Minimum ARB & estimated Minimum Incomplete account values.



Compared Roll Totals By Entity
(1) 2018 000 - September Roll vs. (2) 2019 000 - September Roll

Taxing Authority		Appraised	Accounts		Net Taxable
	(2) - (1)	\$783,623,787	155	(2) - (1)	\$697,160,387
	GAIN	8.38 %		GAIN	7.80 %
	(1)	\$2,354,378,021	24,234	(1)	\$2,068,559,633
920 WHITE SETTLEMENT ISD	(2)	\$2,731,703,883	24,735	(2)	\$2,428,348,371
	(2) - (1)	\$377,325,862	501	(2) - (1)	\$359,788,739
	GAIN	16.03 %		GAIN	17.39 %
	(1)	\$296,642,829	3,233	(1)	\$282,787,308
921 ALEDO ISD	(2)	\$345,857,247	3,232	(2)	\$326,946,100
	(2) - (1)	\$49,214,418	-1	(2) - (1)	\$44,158,792
	GAIN	16.59 %		GAIN	15.62 %
	(1)	\$1,811,955,853	36,386	(1)	\$1,617,535,714
922 BURLESON ISD	(2)	\$2,036,770,048	37,157	(2)	\$1,831,734,457
	(2) - (1)	\$224,814,195	771	(2) - (1)	\$214,198,744
	GAIN	12.41 %		GAIN	13.24 %
	(1)	\$90,347,927	780	(1)	\$81,529,658
923 GODLEY ISD	(2)	\$99,224,100	788	(2)	\$89,915,282
	(2) - (1)	\$8,876,173	8	(2) - (1)	\$8,385,624
	GAIN	9.82 %		GAIN	10.29 %
	(1)	\$245,534,462	239	(1)	\$214,092,047
924 LEWISVILLE ISD	(2)	\$352,388,001	518	(2)	\$309,141,000
	(2) - (1)	\$106,853,539	279	(2) - (1)	\$95,048,953
	GAIN	43.52 %		GAIN	44.40 %

Note: Both Appraised and Net Taxable Values include Residential, Personal Property, and Mineral account values less Absolute value.

Note: Net Taxable Values include estimated Minimum ARB & estimated Minimum Incomplete account values.